Connected cars and privacy: who’s in the driving seat?

Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) need to engineer their vehicles for a data driven world.

Welcome to the painful complexity of connected car contracts

In comparison to a car buyer, the connected car buyer faces a mountain of contracts to sign. *See how long it takes to wade through:

- **Connected car**
  - Average length of agreements and other third party policies: 30,492 words
  - Breakdown of agreements:
    - Vehicle sale agreement
    - Vehicle finance agreement
    - Vehicle manufacturer T&Cs for connected services
    - Vehicle manufacturer privacy policy for connected services
    - **Third party applications T&Cs and privacy policies

- **Unconnected car**
  - Average vehicle sale agreement, vehicle finance agreement: 4,818 words, T&Cs: 7,336 words

- **Apple iTunes**
  - Average length of T&Cs: 17,121 words

- **Shakespeare’s Macbeth**
  - 1hr 26 mins

The excepted items can be cancelled by contacting iTunes. Any payments for the United Kingdom store are specifying.

You agree to receipt of all invoices in an electronic format, which may include email. Your total price will include tax as your country of residence for tax purposes. Any payments if the delivery has started upon your request and acknowledgement that you thereby lose your cancellation right.

Source: BearingPoint Institute

*Based on average reading time of 200 words per minute with a typical comprehension of 60%
**Average from US third party agreements and data privacy policies totaling 14,935 words.
Data privacy is so widely covered and discussed today\(^1\) that it almost feels like the topic is a closed book – but for original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), the story is just beginning. So far, the industry has been more focused on technological innovation, such as batteries and hydrogen, than data privacy. Yet equally crucial are privacy considerations, as it will be data that powers tomorrow’s cars. A strong privacy strategy will not only help OEMs manage this well, but is fundamental to their success. Without this, their ability to harness the value of the data their vehicles produce will be deeply compromised.\(^2\)

Identifying the privacy challenges

In November 2015, Allgemeiner Deutscher Automobil-Club (ADAC), the German motorist organisation, discovered that large amounts of data were being captured by the on-board diagnostics (OBD) system of a BMW 320d, including driving destinations and phone contacts, without the permission of the user.\(^3\) Other models will doubtlessly have the same issues – previously, this data could only be accessed by directly connecting to the OBD, but now the data is starting to be transmitted wirelessly – and the amount of data being captured is growing by the day. Addressing the legal consequences of these developments is imperative, as are a number of other privacy challenges that confront connected-car teams.

Evolving relationships

For the first time, as connected cars move to the mainstream, OEMs will have to deal directly and around-the-clock with consumers – a sharp contrast from previous, sporadic interactions through dealerships. A huge part of this new relationship will be based around data, which will flow automatically from car to manufacturer.\(^4\) OEMs need to learn how to handle huge volumes of data: a car can generate up to 25 GB per hour according to some estimates.\(^5\) This means that a manufacturer could collect and store several GB’s per car, amounting to petabytes (PB, \(10^{15}\)) for each million cars and not least deal with customer concerns over how that information is being used, stored and shared.

Doing this well will mean significant organisational changes for OEMs. Software is already becoming a key differentiator\(^6\) for customers when making decisions about which new car to buy.\(^7\) As OEMs add more software to their cars, there will be new services to manage and more data to analyse, store and secure – a serious shift.
Identifying the privacy challenges

The organisational structure of car manufacturers will increasingly change to reflect these changes. Building privacy into these new structures should be a high priority.

The first challenge of doing this is building the right infrastructure, teams and individual capabilities to handle data comprehensively and thoughtfully. A clear appreciation for data protection will be required, as many in the industry are not yet familiar with existing regulations and internal policies. This can lead to miscommunications with the public, which does nothing to put privacy fears to rest.8

A changing ecosystem

Vehicle manufacturers are scrambling to find their place in a highly dynamic ecosystem of connected-car services – having to determine which services to provide to customers, and with whom they should partner to achieve this. A central question concerns which connected services should be developed in-house and which should be fielded out to partners and third parties. External companies, for example, have less interest than OEMs in attending scrupulously to secure data management, since in the event of a problem it is the OEM that will bear the brunt of the reputational damage, not the service provider. Beyond reputational risks, it is the OEM again, not the service provider, that is likely to be liable in a court of law - which has major implications for the privacy strategy of manufacturers.

Importantly, access to customer data won’t just be confined to external digital service providers. As the connected-car ecosystem matures, cars will increasingly communicate with pedestrians, public infrastructure (such as the Safespot project currently being trialled in Europe, which creates dynamic networks between vehicles and infrastructure to improve safety9), and other cars – including some from different manufacturers. Ultimately, this will lead to a vast number of interconnections where the privacy of the consumer must be considered and protected – yet another reason to develop a holistic privacy framework.

Creative tensions

One of the biggest challenges around privacy is that it brings a new set of interests into the development process. Engineers, naturally, want to design innovative products that use customer data in novel and exciting ways, and so may have less regard for data privacy compliance. This can create conflict with legal teams, who strive to minimise risk in such projects and the OEM’s potential liability. Similarly, marketing teams have their own set of priorities: how to harness data to grow their insights about customers and vehicles, and how to sell these innovations.

At present, legal teams tend to be disconnected from the development phase of projects, often brought in late in the process once the product or service has already been developed. This can lead to situations where a project is eventually deemed unviable from a legal perspective after engineers have worked on it for months, serving to undermine the relationship between the two departments as a result. A holistic privacy framework would stop these issues before they even start.
Data ownership is a key issue driving privacy concerns around the world. Indeed, the initial question is whether data can be ‘owned’ in the first place. If it can, the question becomes who has ownership – the company that made the product or the consumer who bought it? More complicated still are these questions in the context of the auto sector, in which third parties enter the mix: for example, the driver may be a different person entirely to the car’s owner.

No clear legal answer to these questions currently exists. However, a number of groups - such as FIA Region 1, a driver advocacy group operating in the EU who ran the My Car My Data campaign – are working to establish the rights of drivers to own their own data and control how it is used. These groups argue that drivers have the right to choose their own service provider, and that service providers should work to develop safe products and services. It seems the battle for connected-car data is just getting started.

Anything to boost the OEM’s profile as a privacy leader will go a long way to boosting the culture of privacy in the organisation.
RECOMMENDATIONS

In October 2015, Volvo announced it would accept full liability for its cars when they are driving in autonomous mode. This move represents a landmark for connected cars, effectively removing one area of concern for consumers as they make purchasing decisions on new cars. Now, OEMs need to make the same leap forward for privacy.

In order to deal with privacy issues in a holistic way, OEMs must address a number of challenges and change their structures to accommodate privacy across the whole business. But where to start?

1. An executive-level commitment to privacy

Privacy must become an integral part of every OEM’s company vision, and a core component of the company culture. Despite some recent initiatives, this is yet to be the norm industry-wide. The first step in this direction is reaching consensus at board level that privacy must be managed comprehensively, at every stage of connected-car development and beyond. Once this is attained, OEMs will be in a position to begin securing data at every stage of the value loop, within every node of the organisation.

The next step is appointing a data protection officer to the C-suite, who will be responsible for developing a company-wide privacy programme. Companies can then implement a privacy committee or centre of excellence, install privacy champions in every department, build training plans for privacy awareness, and run regular privacy audits across the business.

2. A holistic approach to Privacy by Design

First conceived back in 1995, Privacy by Design (PbD) has shot to prominence in recent years thanks to the advent of big-data technologies, and their implications for consumer privacy. At the heart of PbD is the idea that privacy should be taken into account throughout the whole engineering process, rather than tacked on at the end. PbD is now widely accepted by regulators around the world as a fundamental aspect of privacy protection.

PbD brings many benefits to organisations that adopt it into their engineering processes. First, it engenders increased awareness of privacy issues within businesses. Second, it assists companies in identifying potential privacy issues at an early stage of development. This will help minimise projects being scrapped belatedly as non-viable from a privacy perspective.

To implement PbD, clear communication channels need to be established – whereby information on current regulations informs the planning of design projects, and an appropriate and structured review process is in place that involves the relevant stakeholders, including product development teams, connected-car teams and legal departments. This approach must also be pragmatic: managing customer expectations over privacy must be weighed against the seamless experience that connected-car technologies will provide them with.

PbD is gaining traction internationally. For example, it will be referenced in the forthcoming EU General Data Protection regulation – a notable initiative for privacy advocates. Facebook’s Chief Privacy Officer claims that the company designs PbD principles into every product, feature and update it now builds. Apple encrypts all outgoing messages from its iPhones without storing the unique encryption key, meaning the company couldn’t hand the keys over to the authorities even if it wanted to. OEMs must hold themselves to the same standards as these privacy leaders.
3. Rethink and retool legal

Privacy issues demand legal solutions. As well as the traditional duties of negotiating contracts with suppliers and defending their employer from lawsuits, OEM legal teams must become advocates for customer privacy in the new environment of PbD – a drastic change in both culture and scope. Much rides on whether legal teams can resist acting as blockers to bright ideas, and instead act as facilitators of innovation just as they continue to foster privacy awareness.

Nowadays, when a vehicle manufacturer is deciding which new connected services to offer, it is the job of legal to advise on what can be done from a data protection point of view, in addition to what the customer privacy expectations are in that domain. For this to be more effective, legal teams need to become better integrated into the strategy, design, development and engineering phases of connected-car teams. They will also need to learn, speak and teach a new language: privacy. All of this requires that legal professionals possess skill-sets in these areas – presenting a new hiring and training challenge for OEMs.

To that end, vehicle manufacturers should create connected-car legal teams that get involved at every stage of the product development and launch process. To supervise this team, there should be a connected-car data protection officer who would report to the company’s new C-level data protection officer. Qualified lawyers with expertise in contracts and data protection then need to be brought on board. This team should sit in the connected-car business unit and have a deep understanding of the services being developed. In this way, it should be able to offer targeted legal advice and, most critically, direct and realign development based on regulatory compliance.

4. Keep an eye on changing privacy regulations

Privacy legislation is evolving all the time: every region of the world, if not every country, has its own unique data protection rules. These rules are not industry specific, are open to interpretation, and are morphing all the time, making compliance a slippery beast. Even small changes in policy can have immediate and disruptive effects for OEMs.

To ensure compliance in this ever-changing environment, and therefore mitigate the risk of legal challenges, vehicle manufacturers must extend the remit of existing compliance teams towards data protection and the digital environment. The first role of these new teams should be to monitor regulatory changes worldwide that impact customer data protection, and communicate these changes to the relevant stakeholders before they come into force. The team

By being the manufacturer that really ‘gets’ privacy, an OEM stands to make huge leaps in terms of both customer loyalty and brand image
should then provide instantaneous impact assessment on data regulation compliance for every change, across every country and product. This should help unearth the changes that need to be made, and lead change across the business.

The second role of these teams should be to influence and lobby regulatory bodies to push data privacy in a direction that will benefit the current business strategy of the OEM. These new roles will require different skillsets from the teams managed by the OEM’s Chief Compliance Officer, which will require the recruitment of lawyers expert in data protection, as well as lobbyists and compliance analysts.

OEMs would do well to look at Microsoft as an example of a global company implementing these privacy measures effectively. The company has a ‘global privacy community’ composed of privacy champions, leads and managers, who give advice across the whole business on privacy-related issues. Each business group is responsible for ensuring that it is compliant with corporate privacy requirements, with mechanisms in place to ensure this happens, including training, privacy identification tools, and an escalation response framework.14

5. Make privacy a core tenet of the brand

At the heart of all these privacy issues is the need to design connected-car services in a way that allows customers to exert meaningful control over their personal data, whilst maintaining their enjoyment of the services provided.

This means developing a friendly, informative privacy policy and set of terms and conditions for consumers. This is an area where OEMs can learn from best practices: Uber and Facebook, for example, group their privacy settings in easy-to-read modules, and use a multi-layered approach. As standard practice in these companies, each privacy setting can be summed up in one sentence but, if customers click through, they have access to extended information. New policy changes are explained clearly to customers in one email, with an opt-in box to click.

A key function of privacy settings from leaders in this area is the ability to easily opt out of the connected-car services, erasing all customer data and details collected, as well as the ability to download all the data that a company has collected from them.15 OEMs should adopt this as standard.

But in order to reach the next level of customer engagement when it comes to privacy, OEMs could also consider public awareness campaigns: from infographics to privacy FAQs and videos – anything that enhances the OEM’s profile as a privacy leader will go a long way to boosting the culture of privacy in the organisation.

However, learning from other sectors will only take vehicle manufacturers so far. A mobile phone, for example, typically only has one user over the course of its lifetime, but a car could have multiple users and multiple owners. How can OEMs ensure that every time a person gets in the driving seat, they have accepted the privacy policy for each service? Should the customer assume their data will always remain private unless specifically told otherwise? These are questions to which OEMs must find answers.
Conclusion

If OEMs don’t get ahead of regulations, and deal with privacy concerns before regulators step in, they may find themselves left behind. For example, all new cars in Europe must have eCall capabilities – automatic systems that call the nearest emergency services in the case of an accident – by April 2018, according to new legislation. But this data cannot be used for secondary purposes or retained. If legislators decide that other connected-car features are as ‘essential’ as eCall, and OEMs haven’t got a coherent privacy strategy in place, they could face difficult times adapting to this fast-shifting landscape.

The risks for OEMs of getting privacy strategy wrong are high, as the consequences are spread across a number of areas: compliance risk, reputational risk, and the threat of alienating customers with high expectations when it comes to privacy protection. But this is also an opportunity for OEMs to rise above their competitors. By being the manufacturer that really ‘gets’ privacy, they stand to make huge leaps in terms of both customer loyalty and brand image. But in order to do this, privacy must be instilled into every step of the connected-car development and design process – anything other than a holistic approach will ensure that OEMs are always on the back foot, putting themselves in the way of risk, and missing out on huge opportunities to gain a lead on their rivals.

Although OEMs can undertake a number of measures to address the privacy concerns of customers, the fact is that the concept of privacy is shifting rapidly, as technology opens both individuals and companies up to the transparency of the connected world. OEMs need to fully adapt to this new world by creating holistic strategies to tackle privacy and data security – and if they fail to do this, the news will be out on Twitter in minutes.

How can OEMs ensure that every time a person gets in the driving seat, they have accepted the privacy policy for each service? Should the customer assume their data will always remain private unless specifically told otherwise? These are questions to which OEMs must find answers.
Connected cars will change the relationship between the vehicle manufacturers and their customers – for the first time, they will communicate around the clock, and directly.

They will also change the nature of the OEM ecosystem – new players will emerge who want access to customer data in return for advanced products and services.

Keeping customer data safe will mean bringing the legal team into a bold new position within the OEM – and this will bring its own challenges of culture and integration.

The key to protecting customer data will be a holistic data privacy strategy – OEMs must integrate privacy into their culture and processes.

Legal teams will need to be completely re-shaped and integrated within connected-car teams in the boardroom, with appointments and policies that acknowledge the importance of protecting the privacy of their customers.

OEMs will need to keep a watchful eye on ever-evolving privacy regulations around the world to ensure their products match international compliance expectations.

Vehicle manufacturers can use privacy by design principles to create a holistic and pragmatic approach to privacy.

Overall, though, privacy must become a core part of the OEM’s brand.

This must translate into a smooth, easy-to-understand experience for the customer.
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About the BearingPoint Institute

At the BearingPoint Institute, our ambition goes beyond traditional ‘thought leadership’. We aim to contribute original ideas to the science of business management whilst equipping decision makers with practical advice gained in the field and through our research projects.

www.bearingpointinstitute.com

About BearingPoint

BearingPoint consultants understand that the world of business changes constantly and that the resulting complexities demand intelligent and adaptive solutions. Our clients, whether in commercial or financial industries or in government, experience real results when they work with us. We combine industry, operational and technology skills with relevant proprietary and other assets in order to tailor solutions for each client’s individual challenges. This adaptive approach is at the heart of our culture and has led to long-standing relationships with many of the world’s leading companies and organizations. Our global consulting network of 9700 people serves clients in more than 70 countries and engages with them for measurable results and long-lasting success.

www.bearingpoint.com